

keywords: Kevin Rudd; expansion of corruption; organized crime; SP bookmaking; prostitution; police protection; Lionel Keith Murphy; Murphy's New Court; Federal Court; hub for "labor corruption" in each state; flourished in Queensland under Spender; Jeffrey Ernest John Spender; Murphy mentored Spender; Murphy Evil Web [MEW]; Spender Evil Web [SEW]; (our 'handles'); Spender defrauded disabled, now lies of his concern for disabled



Attention MEDIA BUYING AGENTS: Discover the cost-benefit imperative of advertising in our journals.
<http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html>

Kevin Rudd is poised to take the Spender engendered brand of corruption, nationwide. The Spender Evil Web [SEW] has an underground permeation of the political, judicial, government commissions and union life of Queensland. Rudd has an intimate knowledge of it from his time as a senior labor government bureaucrat in Queensland. His purpose in going into the Federal Sphere was for just this expansion nationally, of labor Spender corruption. Under Spender, the Murphy Evil Web [MEW], prospered better than in any other state, into the Spender Evil Web [SEW]. Spender pretends disdain for mistreating the disabled, but was happy in 1974 to conspire with another labor criminal Terry Mellifont to defraud a disabled guy solely because he was disabled. That's the Rudd way. SEW's effectiveness in Queensland can be gleaned from the fact that no other state has had the extreme labor majorities as has Queensland, on a state level. [photo distorted for space for caption] HAIGPHOTO

Rudd brand of corruption for all of Australia

by Alex Gordon LLB

LIONEL MURPHY & SPENDER FRAUD

MURPHY EVIL WEB [MEW]: SPENDER EVIL WEB [SEW]

Jeffrey Ernest John Spender was Murphy's deputy for Queensland. Read on to see how you can determine Murphy's intended deputy for each other state. Prior to Murphy going to the High Court in February 1975, all was in place for the development/evolution of the MURPHY EVIL WEB [MEW]. The sacking of the Whitlam Government merely delayed its growth for a few years. It is now still with us, as strong as ever and responsible for Coast to Coast labor

governments.

With Rudd being from Queensland, and having worked at a high level in the Queensland labor government bureaucracy, Kevin Rudd is very familiar with the working of the SEW which has strengthened over the years, and from which Rudd has gained great “benefit”. The SEW is closely associated with Union thuggery and Organised crime, prostitution, SP Bookmaking, drugs and police corruption and protection. Spender served his four year “apprenticeship” as a public prosecutor. That is where he had much contact with Mellifont [“husband” of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick].

There is in Queensland a WEB of labor lawyer corruption. The purpose of the web is POWER and CONTROL, and hence, wealth and privilege. The brains of the WEB in Queensland, is Federal Court Judge, Jeffrey Ernest John Spender. We will consider Spender’s development to this point, later in this journal.

All your printing needs in one spot.

If you find Australian printing too expensive and poor quality, contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html> /. We arrange to provide to you, printing services by a variety of printers in South East Asia. Those printers will be provided with print/photo ready copy. We will arrange businesses and individual Graphic Designers in Australia , New Zealand or a country where the language of the Print job is the first language of that country, so they can produce that copy to your satisfaction prior to its going to the Asian printer. Contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>

This is high level corruption It has been developing and running in Queensland since before 1974. It has run in parallel with, and over-riding the police corruption of Terry Lewis and bagman Herbert, a la the Fitzgerald inquiry. The effect of the Fitzgerald inquiry, whether intentional or otherwise, [left to the reader to determine], was that the Terry Lewis, police strand of corruption was weakened for the “benefit” of the Spender Evil Web [SEW] corruption. Members of the web know the identity of the others but do not have meetings as the WEB, but rather meet as members of other groups. They know the principles they are to follow. They have a great affinity with the Labor/Union movement. They are a subset of Lawyers. In fact, they are Labor Lawyers, but not all labor voting lawyers but a sub-set of labor lawyers. It has tangible representations established by labor governments. These include the HREOC, and in Queensland the CJC [now CMC], the LSC [Legal Services Commission], the Queensland Information Commissioner, and large sections of the public service, due to the pivotal senior members in those sections. The labor appointment of judges completes the WEBBING of our community.

Rather than expose it as the history of its development, we will expose two members about whom we have proof, [some public]; they are the Labor Lawyers, Jeffrey John Ernest Spender and Terence Joseph Mellifont [“husband” of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] [Terry Mellifont [“husband” of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] of TJ Mellifont [“husband” of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] infamy]. We will then expand

out the possible strands of the Spender Evil Web [SEW] and show the multiple associations of those proven corrupt, with other lawyers/judges, often through intermediaries, and detail wrong doing, [or maybe just 'failings'] by those other lawyers/judges and leave it to the reader to decide, whether the instances of breaches of Due Process, [aka Natural Justice or Procedural Fairness], or other duties such as Duty of Care or more often Fiduciary Duty [FD], or their incorrect application of the law and their illegal actions are simple mistakes, despite the effect of their incorrect/improper/illegal acts, having a “common purpose”, or are cogent indications of actual corruption. .

Spender is intellectually brilliant. As an adolescent this proffered in the area of Mathematics, the field in those days where genius was exhibited. He went on to study Mathematics within a Science Degree at The University of Queensland [UQ]. He did an extra year of mathematics to gain his Honours in Science. Clearly, pre-matriculation, he had not been aiming at law. We can consider other aspects of his life to gain an understanding of his innate character.

Spender was captain of his rugby union team at his Secondary School of St Laurence's College. Clearly, he likes to lead and to be in charge. That also indicates that he has a violent nature. His choice of sport of fencing confirms that he has a violent predilection.

WANTED: Contract PRINTERS in Asia.

Print/copy shop owners or operators anywhere in South East Asia including China, Singapore, India, Malaysia, Vietnam, Thailand, Cambodia, Hong Kong, Macau, South Korea, Philippines, Indonesia, Japan, Taiwan, New Zealand, and Sri Lanka. [Google loves a list], we invite your expression of interest in offering your printing services.

Please read the [Allstralian Business Journal](http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf) article at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf> /. Our initial small print run is black monochrome A4. We expect to direct much more print business to Asian firms as the Australian Print/copy businesses are overcharging and giving poor service. See our accompanying advertisements for people wanting printing done and for the Graphic Designers of print copy to produce print ready copy in .pdf format for those customers.

We are happy to pay in your currency or any currency you choose. The payment would be electronically, immediately the work is done and posted. **Contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>**

The question, the answer to which could be quite informative is, when and why Spender chose to enter the “law”, when he was gifted in mathematics? When one considers other information, it is quite reasonable to decide that Spender's intention was to have power and be in charge. From his law degree, Spender went straight into being a Crown Prosecutor. Clearly, that was a deliberate “career” move to enable him to fully understand the workings of crime in Queensland. Spender was Public Prosecutor for 4 years. That gave him a good grounding in, and taught him much about corruption in Queensland. Is it any wonder that so many big time high profile criminals [and the many others that he got off murder charges], chose him to 'defend' them?

As the Public Prosecutor, Spender would have had so much contact with corrupt police.

Police were corrupt, pre and post Fitzgerald and still are; just part of a whole corrupt system. Most of the people prosecuted for crime, are disadvantaged and disabled. Police know they are the easier ones to prosecute. Spender has no qualms about benefiting at the expense of the disabled. He conspired with Mellifont in 1974 to defraud a disabled guy just because he was disabled.

Lionel Keith Murphy was a criminal who was very bright and able to weasel his way out of criminal charges. Lionel Murphy was intellectually brilliant. He had plans for a fraudulent clandestine web across Australia, to foment his view of how the world/Australia should be. He felt the system in Australia should favour one section of the Australian population, [one faction of the labor electorate, of which he, Murphy, plus Spender and Terence Joseph Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] (also Terry Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] of TJ Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] infamy) were members] [and of the world population] to the detriment of the remainder of the Australian Population. His public stance had to be very different. Hence the Murphy Evil Web [MEW]. [We will pepper this article and maybe publish other journals with MEW in the headlines. Google will index it highly. In a month – it takes a short time for Google to index matter, and the ranking improves with time – we warrant that a Google search of MEW or Murphy Evil Web, will return our site as number one; also for a Google on 'Kevin Rudd'.]

Murphy wanted 'his Australia' to be free of the vagaries of the electoral cycle. He wanted to deputize very able lieutenants in each state of Australia. In 1962, Murphy was elected to the Commonwealth Senate as a senator for NSW. At about that time, he mentored Spender and convinced him to make the radical change from Mathematics to Law, and to be then a possible future deputy for Murphy in Queensland, and so would be formed the Spender Evil Web [SEW]. Murphy recognised Spender's brilliance. Murphy was responsible for the corruption of the [now named Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission HREOC], by the selection of corrupt individuals to pivotal positions within HREOC, by labor governments. They then ensured the remainder of HREOC would be equally corrupt. With the election of the Whitlam Government in 1972, and the subsequent appointment of Murphy as Commonwealth Attorney-General, Murphy's corrupt plan for Australia could begin to be realised.

An integral part of that plan, to be not answerable to the electorate, was the formation of a new Commonwealth Court exercising Commonwealth Jurisdiction under the Constitution. The Court in each state was to be the HUB of his wicked web in each state. In fact, in 1973 and 1974, the court was spoken of as “Murphy's New Court” [MNC]. From early days, Spender was tagged as an inaugural judge of MNC, for Queensland. Spender was spoken of in those terms, by those members of the MEW. When the Whitlam Government was sacked in 1975, the MEW was in place but without the depth or density it required as the Federal Court was in the process of being formed but had not at that time eventuated. When the new Federal Court was inaugurated, the Liberal government was in place so Spender was not appointed. It had to wait till the Hawke labor government was elected for the members of the MEW to be able to influence the promotion of Spender. The other states may wish to consider who was to be Murphy's deputy for their state. A clue may be to consider who in their state, just as Spender was for Queensland, was appointed by the Hawke Government from their state to the Federal Court.

Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was a member/hangeron, for Queensland, but, unlike Spender, Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was dumb and incompetent. Both Spender and Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] were and are corrupt.

Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was struck off the roll of solicitors by The Full Court of the Supreme Court of Queensland [as it was then structured] in 1980. That , [archived at <http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/journals/OUTLJJ/2003/24.html?query=^Mellifont>], is a reference to an article following Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick]'s being struck off for good. The actual case, reported in Qld Reports [1981] page 17 is not freely available online. Another later case involving Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] is online at Mellifont v Attorney-General (Old) [1991] HCA 53; (1991) 173 CLR 289 (12 December 1991) /. That case, when Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was struck off, showed that Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was incompetent . It also showed he was prepared to be corrupt, a criminal perjurer, to conceal his incompetence. In 1974 with Haig, Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was corrupt in conspiring with Heffernan of the Queensland Government Railways [QGR], to shaft our photographer, the disabled Haig, when Haig was the client of Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], to put the Criminal Heffernan in the clear. We might add that because Haig was, and still is, disabled with the results of brain damage, Mellifont and Spender felt it was easier to put it over on Haig, and rip him off, as they did. In Australian Criminal Law Journal [ACLJ] ISSN 1321-6562, published 13 August, 2007 archived at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200708.justice.jeffrey.spender.pdf> /, we detail the incompetent way in which Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], for his part, perpetrated the fraud against Haig. It is because of this incompetence by Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], that Haig, with our assistance, is able to prove that Spender was corrupt, and defrauded Haig, as did Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], Heffernan, Reiman and vicariously Queensland Rail. Plainly, it was because Haig was clearly disabled and that Spender and Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] knew that Haig was disabled, that Spender and Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] decided to defraud Haig, as we detail below.

When Haig, as an Accountant with a commerce degree, appeared as Applicant in Spender's Court, 16 years later, Spender worried that the pigeons of his corruption in defrauding Haig, could be coming home to roost. With that Spender's Evil Web [SEW] sprung into action. They could not have their top man, the linchpin of corruption, exposed. They were concerned. To read further on the SEW protecting Spender and, inturn, the SEW themselves, see <http://AustLawPublish.com/austlawpublishSavingSpendersEvilWeb.html> /.

How the Criminal Fraud by QGR/QR [Queensland Rail] arose.

See our [Australian Criminal Law Journal \[ACLJ\] ISSN 1321-6562, published 21 May, 2007](#) archived at

[http://AustLawPublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf /](http://AustLawPublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf/).

In 1974, our photographer Haig was set up by the perjuring Queensland Government Railway's [QGR] Walter Norman 'Wally' Reiman of Corinda, in conjunction with the criminal Frank Thomas Heffernan.

Two people from QR who are Criminals are [See [their Dossiers by us on our Dossiers of:](#) page at <http://AustLawPublish.com/austlawpublishDossierof.html>] Frank Thomas Heffernan, now of 2 Rawle Crt, Broadbeach Waters [he retired from QR in August 1990], and Walter Norman [Wally] Reiman now of 22 Deniven St, Corinda, [he retired from QR in April 1992]. Heffernan was, at that relevant time, Assistant to the General Manger, CJ [Joe] Kelso. Kelso was then a member of the Ipswich Branch of the Labor Party.

The Chief Claims Clerk in February, 1974, was Harry Smythe. That Claims Clerk was responsible for the working of the Claims Office. He saw the big backlog that was building up on Reiman's part of the Claims. He realised that Haig worked very effectively. For that reason, the Claim's Clerk allocated Haig to the job of attaching for Reiman. As detailed later in this journal in the narrative, since Haig was doing a very effective job, he had almost organized all of the backlog into order within three weeks. In doing so, Haig had attached all documents for the same file together to enable Haig to attach all for each respective file to that file at the one time. Haig was working in a very systematic manner while ensuring Reiman had work on which to operate at all times.

Graphic Designers: Business opportunity

We invite expressions of interest from Australian and New Zealand Graphic Designers who wish to provide a service to the Australian or New Zealand Community, as indicated by the above two advertisements, and the [Allstralian Business Journal article](#) at [http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf /](http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf/).

We believe that by arranging better value and quality printing, we will increase the market for printed material and hence increase work for Graphic Designers being produced by TAFE around Australia.

Contact us on our PHP email at

<http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>

As also detailed, Reiman was displaying the panic as he was anticipating being overwhelmed and shown to have been bludging for years. This spurred Reiman's perjured testimony and complaints to Heffernan, and in the process, major fraud of Haig. It is a serious indictment of Smythe that he did not make public the reality that Haig had done a fine job of attacking the backlog. [Afterall, that is what Smythe wanted, unless he had been involved in setting Haig up to be defrauded]. Maybe he did advise Heffernan, but maybe then Heffernan had told him to

forget it or Heffernan had just ignored it. Heffernan's criminality springs from his assisting in the fraud of Haig when he had all the evidence to the contrary. Further criminality arises from his destruction of the evidence which evidence was inconvenient for the QR. The quantum of the fraud of Haig was at least, the three months' loss of pay plus his legal costs, which approximated another month's salary.

When Heffernan came into the office where Haig worked to "catch Haig", the office was deserted of all the females, [they were in their special set aside "Rest Room/Lounge"], and many males. Does anyone really think that the clerical bludgers in QR worked, or even remained there, right to the dot of 5pm? This was the Claims Office of QR. So, if a claim for lost parcels or goods was delayed for years, who in QR, especially in the clerical bludge pit, would lose sleep?

Heffernan had access to all the documents that Haig had processed and placed in order such that all documents for the same file were together, and pinned together. As well, all the documents were dated, and by far the majority of dates predated the period in which Haig had been allocated that job.

Heffernan had access to all the evidence to show that Haig was innocent. He had carriage of the matter and decided that the evidence should be destroyed, and it was destroyed under his stewardship. The number of unattached documents were used to "prove" that Haig had been "idling his time" instead of working. It was Spender's job to put all that in perspective, but then, he would have displeased his labor/union mates.

Haig, with our assistance, can prove clearly the charges by Queensland Rail [QR] were trumped up, and Spender deliberately acted such that Haig was not discharged as innocent. Haig was defrauded by QR. QR knew Haig was disabled and accordingly owed Haig a Fiduciary Duty [FD]. A FD is far more onerous for the person owing it that is the Duty of Care. QR would be required to show how it discharged that obligation or duty. Since it did not discharge it, it cannot show that it did.

We imagine Spender would never have thought this will be back to bite him after 33 years. At that time in 1974, Mellifont would have been about 31 years old, and Spender about 32 years old.

We see a lot of interest in our site about material already published about Jeffrey Spender. In fact we have seen one googling <jeffrey spender queensland corrupt> and there has been quite a bit of interest in Spender of late, by google and just the internet accessing Spender's part of our site, where the journals re Spender are archived.

This Journal is part of the Mellifont/Spender/Heffernan/Reiman/Queensland Rail fraud topic. On the August, 2007 occasion, this FRAUD TOPIC was spread across four journals. They are archived at [Australian Parliamentary Law Journal Issue 200702](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianParliamentaryLawJournalissue200702.pdf) <http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianParliamentaryLawJournalissue200702.pdf> /, [Australian Criminal Law Journal Issue 200708 \[Justice.Jeffrey.Spender\]](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200708.justice.jeffrey.spender.pdf) <http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200708.justice.jeffrey.spender.pdf> /, [QUT Alumni Journal Issue 200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813QUTAJissue200701.pdf) <http://austlawpublish.com/20070813QUTAJissue200701.pdf> /, [The Allstralian Journal Issue 200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813TheAllstralianJournalissue200701.pdf) <http://austlawpublish.com/20070813TheAllstralianJournalissue200701.pdf> /.

In July 2007, we had four journals addressing this topic. They are archived at <http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200707.judge.julie.dick.pdf> ,
<http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716dossierof.judge.julie.maree.dick.pdf> ,
<http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716dossierof.terence.mellifont.pdf> ,
<http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716QBAissue200702.pdf> /. These are in addition to seven of our previous journals. In April, we published [HaigReport \[ISSN 1834-6294 #200701 26 March, 2007\]](http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf) (we held it over for a month)./ It is also archived online at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf> /. That gave the factual setting from which this umbrella topic has arisen. In May, 2007, we publishing a further six journals which are relevant to this topic/matter. Our then newest Law Journal, very topical in Australia in the lead up to the 2007 Federal Election, was the [Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal ISSN 1834-8378 \[AIRLJ\] Issue #200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal issue200701.pdf) which is archived at [<http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal issue200701.pdf>]. Although the [Australian Judiciary Law Journal ISSN 1321-4497 Issue #200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf) [<http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf>], is included as part of the [Australian Criminal Law Journal ISSN 1321-6562 Issue200705](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf) [archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf>], we also reference its separate publication as <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf>, and the **Dossiers of: three people involved** are **Dossier of: Walter Norman Reiman** archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.walter.reiman.pdf> , **Dossier of: Jeffery Ernest John Spender** archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.jeffery.spender.pdf> and **Dossier of: Frank Thomas Heffernan** archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.frank.heffernan.pdf> and for background **HaigReport [ISSN 1834-6294 #200701 26 March, 2007]**, also archived online at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf> /.

[Attention MEDIA BUYING AGENTS: Discover the cost-benefit imperative of advertising in our journals.](http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html)

<http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html>